UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,414	12/23/2005	Jean-Francois Ranjard	PSA0311329	5455
²⁹⁹⁸⁰ NICOLAS E. S	7590 11/25/200 ECKEL	EXAMINER		
Patent Attorney		WARTALOWICZ, PAUL A		
1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/562	414	RANJARD ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		PAUL A	. WARTALOWICZ	1793				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no cation. by period will apply and by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATIO event, however, may a reply be till will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>27 July 200</i> 9.						
•		☐ This action is	non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
<i>/</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-13 is/are pending i	n the applicatior	1.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
'=	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-13</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
0)□	The specification is objected to by the E	vaminer						
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		h)□ objected to by the	Examiner				
.0,	Applicant may not request that any objection		•					
		= -	•		FR 1.121(d).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreian priority i	ınder 35 H.S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	loroign priority t	macr 00 0.0.0. 3 1 10(a) (a) 51 (1).				
٠,/١	_	cuments have b	een received.					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			•					
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 11-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

Claim 13 objected to because of the following informalities: it appears that the scope of claim 13 is coextensive of scope with claim 4. Therefore, it appears that claim 13 is a substantial duplicate of claim 4. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-9, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jorgensten et al. (US 2004/0052722) in view of Amendola (US 2004/0033194) and Amendola (US2003/0092877).

Jorgensten teaches a method of producing hydrogen comprising reacting sodium borohydride and water, wherein the sodium borohydride is in a concentration of 33-37% and wherein the temperature of the reaction is about 120 °C [0007, 0009, 0035] wherein the hydrolysis reaction produces sodium borate [0030]. It appears that the borate solution is at 120 °C as formed by the hydrolysis reaction (this is the initial temperature and the holding temperature). Additionally, it appears that at least a portion of the borohydride is converted to the borate such that the weight percent of sodium borate would encompass the range in claim 5.

Jorgensten fails to teach the duration of the reaction forming sodium borate and hydrogen.

Amendola '194, however, teaches that a typical reaction between sodium borhyrdide and water to produce sodium borate and hydrogen [0027] takes 11,000 seconds (equals 3.05 hours, figure 5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to carry out the hydrogen producing method of Jorgensten for about 3 hours in order to carry out the hydrogen producing method for a typical duration as taught by Amendola '194.

As the reaction producing hydrogen and sodium borate lasts for about 3 hours, it appears that the borate products initially produced are present at a temperature of around 120 °C [Jorgensten, 0007, 0009, 0035] for around 3 hours (Amendola '194, figure 5) thus meeting the limitation of holding the holding temperature for 2-100 hrs.

Regarding the limitation of subjecting the sodium borate to heat treatment to reach a holding temperature: because the initial temperature of 100-180 °C recited in claim 3 overlaps with the holding temperature taught by Jorgensten (120 °C), it appears that subjecting the aqueous the aqueous solution of sodium borate to an initial heating or cooling operation is unnecessary to meet the limitation, or in the alternative that this step inherently is present to reach a temperature of 120 °C.

Jorgensten fails to teach cooling or heating the sodium borate after being held at 120 °C for about 3 hours.

Jorgensten teaches that the sodium borate can be removed and converted to hydride [0051].

Amendola '877 teaches a method for making sodium borohydride from sodium borate [0002, 0016] wherein sodium borate is transported to an initial reaction at a temperature of 50-70°C [0042-0043].

As Jorgensten teaches that the sodium borate can be removed and converted to hydride [0051] and Amendola '877 teaches a method for making sodium borohydride [0002] wherein sodium borate is transported to an initial reaction at a temperature of 50-70°C [0042-0043], it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to transport the sodium borate produced in the hydrogen producing process of Jorgentsen to the initial reaction of Amendola '877 in order to recycle the sodium borate into hydride.

The temperature of the initial reaction taught by Amendola '877 appears to meet the limitation of the storage temperature of -50 to 300°C, and -20-50°C in claims 4 and 13.

Regarding claim 2, while it is claimed that there are two holding operations, only one holding time is specified as 2-100 hours. It appears that the other holding time can be different (see Applicant's specification at page 4, line 24-page 5, line 14). Therefore, it appears that when cooling the sodium borate from 120°C to 50-70°C, a second holding operation inherently occurs as the sodium borate solution passes through a temperature of, for example, 100°C for about 1 second.

Additionally, it appears that the cooling or heating step after holding at the holding temperature occurs at a speed overlapping with the range of 1°C/min to 100°C/min in the absence of a showing to the contrary.

Additionally, it appears that claim 6 encompasses a value of 0% of soda; therefore the claim does not actually require that soda is present in the aqueous solution of sodium borate.

Regarding claims 8 and 9, Jorgensten teaches the system for producing hydrogen is a fuel cell of a vehicle [0027].

Additionally, it appears that a viscous liquid has at least some solids therein and a substantially similar consistency as the sodium borate solid formed in the reaction [0030, Jorgensten]. Additionally, the process of Jorgensten does not appear to crystallize sodium borate.

Art Unit: 1793

Claims 1-4, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amendola (US 2003/0092877).

Amendola '877 teaches a method of making sodium borohydride [002] wherein sodium borate is produced in a first reaction that proceeds at 100°C for a duration of 30 seconds to 100 hours [0078-80] wherein after this reaction, the sodium borate is transported to a second reaction at a temperature of 50-70°C [0042-43,80].

As the first reaction producing sodium borate lasts for about for 30 seconds to 100 hours, it appears that the borate products initially produced are present at a temperature of around 100 °C [0078-80] for around 30 seconds to 100 hours thus overlapping with the limitation of holding the holding temperature for 15-100 hrs. In the case where the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art" a prima facie case of obviousness exists. MPEP 2144.05(I).

Regarding claim 2, while it is claimed that there are two holding operations, only one holding time is specified as 2-100 hours, or 8-100 hours in claim 11, or 15-100 hours in claim 12. It appears that the other holding time can be different (see Applicant's specification at page 4, line 24-page 5, line 14). Therefore, it appears that when cooling the sodium borate from 100°C to 50-70°C, a second holding operation inherently occurs as the sodium borate solution passes through a temperature of, for example, 90°C for about 1 second.

Additionally, it appears that the cooling or heating step after holding at the holding temperature occurs at a speed overlapping with the range of 1°C/min to 100°C/min in the absence of a showing to the contrary.

Regarding the limitation of subjecting the sodium borate to heat treatment to reach a holding temperature: because the initial temperature of 100-180 °C recited in claim 3 overlaps with the holding temperature taught by Jorgensten (120 °C), it appears that subjecting the aqueous the aqueous solution of sodium borate to an initial heating or cooling operation is unnecessary to meet the limitation, or in the alternative that this step inherently is present to reach a temperature of 120 °C.

The temperature of the second reaction taught by Amendola '877 appears to meet the limitation of the storage temperature of -50 to 300°C, and -20-50°C in claims 4 and 13.

Additionally, Amendola '877 teaches that the sodium borate is in liquid form which would inherently exhibit a certain degree of viscosity absent a showing to the contrary.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1793

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL A. WARTALOWICZ whose telephone number is (571)272-5957. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th and 8:30-5 on Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,414 Page 9

Art Unit: 1793

November 21, 2009

/Stanley Silverman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU 1793